

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/787,831	06/15/2001	Catia Bastioli	13929/123411	7489	
75	590 01/31/2003				
Brya Cave McPheeters & McRoberts			EXAMINER		
245 Park Avenue New York, NY 10167			RAJGURU, U	RAJGURU, UMAKANT K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1711		
			DATE MAILED: 01/31/2003	i	
			) D		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet	beneath the correspondence address –	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a n</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defaul</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the matern adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	eply within the statutory r t, expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the applicatio	ninimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. n to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status  Office of the communication (a) filed on the communication (b) filed on the communication (b) filed on the communication (b) filed on the communication (c) filed on the communica	3 2000 Cm	box hag	
X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 05	3,2002	ecion)	
<ul> <li>         ☐ This action is FINAL.         ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1939.     </li> </ul>	for formal matters, <b>p</b> 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 2	rosecution as to the merits is closed in 13.	
Disposition of Claims	ŕ		
(x/Claim(s) 24-48	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	IS/are allowed.		
Ø Claim(s) 24 48	is/are rejected.		
☐ Claim(s)			
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election	
Application Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved	requirement  ☐ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examine	er	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)–(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:			
$\hfill \square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have been r	eceived.		
$\hfill \Box$ Certified copies of the priority documents have been n	eceived in Application	No	
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document	s have been received		
in this national stage application from the Internationa	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• "	
*Certified copies not received:		•	
Attachment(s)			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	o(s)	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	3 🗆	Other	
Office A	ction Summary		

Application/Control Number: 09/787,831

Art Unit: 1774

1. An amendment (paper no. 9) has been filed on October 08, 2002.

- 2. Now claims 24-48 are under examination.
- 3. Rejection of claim 1 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph (see item 4 of prior Office action, Paper No. 7) is now moot.
- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 24-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rastioli et al (WO 98/20073) in view of Corvasce et al (EP 0795581) and Bastioli et al (EP 0965615).

This rejection is incorporated here from same prior Office action and applied to new claims 24-48.

6. Applicant's arguments filed October 08, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 11 of above paper, the applicants argue that there is no motivation to combine references as set forth in Office action, because of interaction of chemical moieties of these references. This argument is not persuasive since no supportive data have been presented by the applicants.

Applicants' next argument that "coupling agent/s of Corvasce may not work in Bastioli ('073) because they are temperature sensitive" is also not persuasive because Bastioli does extrude the composition at an elevated temperature.

Applicants' argument about Bastioli ('615) based on critical plasticizer concentration range is not persuasive because Bastioli ('615) is a secondary reference, relied upon only for its teaching of use of complexed starch

Art Unit: 1774

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to U.K. Rajguru whose telephone number is 703-308-3224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Application/Control Number: 09/787,831

Art Unit: 1774

Page 4

U. K. Rajguru/mn January 29, 2003

> James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700